

REGULAR MEETING, BOARD OF SANITARY DISTRICT COMMISSIONERS
WEDNESDAY, MARCH 25, 2009 – 1:00 P.M. – 1100 E. EIGHTH STREET

The meeting was called to order at 1:00 p.m. in the Board Room of the Administration Building, 1100 E. Eighth Street, by the President of the Board, Boyd W. Phelps.

Commissioners present for the meeting were J. Jeffrey Jones, Ph.D., Judith A. Paul, Boyd W. Phelps, and Ronald J. Strauss. Commissioner Linda G. Simmons was absent.

Staff Members present for the meeting were:

Daniel R. Olson, Plant Superintendent
Michael A. Hoffman, Collection System Superintendent
Doretha M. Sanders, Administrative Director
Tina M. Tabisz, Administrative Assistant
William J. Nelson, Jr., Attorney

Also present for the meeting were:

John Schaefer, City Controller
Ta-Tanisha Clark, Human Rights Director
John Doyle, John A. Doyle & Associates
Jim Maurer, Haas & Associates
Charity Dudley, American Structurepoint
Anthony Martini, Christopher B. Burke Engineering
Trudy Buehler, Christopher B. Burke Engineering
Mike Edinger, Woodruff & Sons
Ryan Miller, D&M Excavating
Jon Hollinger, HRP Construction
Loren Schreiber, 3-S Construction
Andre Steele, ALCO TV

Mr. Phelps explained that the Financial Report from the City Controller will be addressed at the beginning of the meeting.

City Controller John Schaefer distributed the financial reports through February 28, 2009 and advised that depreciation calculations have not begun yet for 2009. This will begin with the next report.

Additionally, Mr. Schaefer explained that there is a misprint on the report. The Total Utility column on the report shows a profit this year so far of \$140,000 and a loss last year of \$312,000. He advised that the loss should actually be a \$38,000 profit after the adjustment for depreciation on that number. Therefore, the District is actually almost \$100,000 better off than the same time last year.

Mr. Schaefer advised that the tax funds listed on the reports may be a bit confusing because it shows the taxes that haven't been collected yet and the money that the City

has loaned to the District. Hopefully, this will be cleared up shortly because the next provisional tax bills are due on March 27th. If the taxes are collected by this date, it should take the County approximately six weeks or so to reconcile everything and we can hopefully receive the remainder of our tax money from last year.

Mr. Phelps asked if there were any questions or comments by the Board. There were none.

Mr. Phelps thanked Mr. Schaefer for his report.

Mr. Phelps then read the financial status of the District as follows:

**FINANCIAL STATUS – SANITARY DISTRICT
March 24, 2009**

OPERATION & MAINTENANCE FUND #6201	\$442,702.17
SEWAGE WORKS SINKING FUND #6207	\$1,051,237.92
SEWAGE WORKS IMPROVEMENT FUND #6208	\$178,688.44

In reference to the bids due for the Lafayette/Barker Utility Relocation Project, Mr. Phelps asked if proofs of publication have been received. Attorney Nelson advised that the publisher's affidavits have been received indicating publication on March 11th and March 18th, 2009 in the Michigan City News-Dispatch.

Mr. Phelps advised that four bids have been received. He opened and read the bids, as follows:

Woodruff & Sons, Inc., Michigan City, Indiana	
Base Bid, Subtotals of Items 1 through 22 inclusive	\$128,762.50
Alternate Bid Subtotal of Items 1 through 11	<u>\$73,879.70</u>
Total	\$202,642.20
3S Construction, Midland, Michigan	
Base Bid, Subtotals of Items 1 through 22 inclusive	\$195,721.00
Alternate Bid Subtotal of Items 1 through 11	<u>\$87,300.00</u>
Total	\$283,021.00
D&M Excavating, Michigan City, Indiana	
Base Bid, Subtotals of Items 1 through 22 inclusive	\$217,688.05
Alternate Bid Subtotal of Items 1 through 11	<u>\$66,537.85</u>
Total	\$284,225.90

HRP Construction, South Bend, Indiana

Base Bid, Subtotals of Items 1 through 22 inclusive	\$162,235.00
Alternate Bid Subtotal of Items 1 through 11	<u>\$67,660.00</u>
Total	\$229,895.00

Mr. Phelps thanked the contractors that submitted bids on the project and advised that the bids will be referred to the Engineer and Attorney for review and tabulation.

In reference to the quotes due for the Ohio Street/U.S. Highway 20 Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation Project, Mr. Phelps advised that one quote was received, which he opened and read as follows:

Insituform Technology, Chesterfield, Missouri

Base bid, Items 1 (15 inch CIPP)	\$14,280.50
Item 2 (Lateral Reconnections)	<u>\$100.00</u>
Total (Addition Error)	\$15,380.50

Alternate Scope 1, Item 1 (15 inch CIPP)	\$14,280.50
Alternate Scope 1, Item 2 (Lateral Reconnections)	\$100.00
Alternate Scope 1, Item 3 (21" CIPP for 21 inch RCP)	<u>\$42,804.00</u>
Total	\$57,184.50

Alternate Scope 2, Item 1	\$14,280.50
Alternate Scope 2, Item 2	\$100.00
Alternate Scope 2, Item 3	\$42,804.00
Alternate Scope 2, Item 4 (Manhole Rehab)	<u>\$6,720.00</u>
Total	\$63,904.50

The quote will be referred to the Engineer and Attorney for tabulation and review.

In reference to the quotes due on the Davis Drive Drainage Improvements, Mr. Phelps advised that three quotes were received. He opened and read them as follows:

Woodruff & Sons, Michigan City, Indiana

\$40,560.00 - Anticipated duration of work, 10 days

Smith & Sons, Michigan City, Indiana

\$44,860.00 - Anticipated duration of work, 14 days

D&M Excavating, Michigan City, Indiana

\$28,162.69 - Anticipated duration of work, 14 days

The quotes will be referred to the Engineer and Attorney for tabulation and review.

Mr. Phelps entertained a motion in reference to the minutes of the Regular Meeting of February 25, 2009.

Ms. Paul made a motion to approve the minutes, as submitted– seconded by Mr. Strauss. No discussion or comment on the matter. Motion carried 4 – 0 in favor.

Mr. Phelps entertained a motion in reference to the minutes of the Executive Session Meeting of February 25, 2009.

Mr. Strauss made a motion to approve the minutes, as submitted– seconded by Ms. Paul. No discussion or comment on the matter. Motion carried 4 – 0 in favor.

Public Comment

Mr. Phelps called for any public comment. There was none.

The following status reports were given:

John Doyle of John A. Doyle & Associates reported on the following projects:

Freyer Ditch/Gardena Street Storm Drainage Improvement Project

Mr. Doyle advised that the pipe is in place and the contractor has been in the process of cleaning and dressing up the area. The line is scheduled to be tested next week some time and everything is moving along quite well.

Mr. Doyle then submitted Pay Request #4 from Woodruff & Sons, in the amount of \$102,974.94, and recommended approval of same.

Mr. Phelps entertained a motion on the matter.

Dr. Jones made a motion to approve Pay Request #4 of Woodruff & Sons, in the amount of \$102,974.94, as submitted – seconded by Mr. Strauss. No discussion or comment on the matter. Motion carried 4 – 0 in favor.

Mr. Doyle advised that he is additionally in the process of drawing some plans and specifications for the upgrading of Gardena Street from White Oak Drive to Salem Street, which is basically a single block.

Mr. Doyle explained that there have been some drainage problems in that particular area and the thought was to obtain some quotes for the reconstruction of the street and installation of curb and gutters while the contractor is still working in the area. He advised that this may require a special meeting in the next few weeks or so to move forward on this part of the project.

Mr. Phelps added that he and Mr. Walus have been on site to review the area with Mr. Doyle and are in agreement.

Dr. Jones asked about the scope of the work. Mr. Phelps and Mr. Doyle advised that will involve paving of the street as well as installation of curbs and gutters back to Salem Street.

Mr. Phelps reiterated Mr. Doyle's comments about the drainage problems in the area all along and there have been many complaints from citizens. He explained that now is the time to address the matter.

Dr. Jones asked if there are currently curbs and gutters on the street now. Mr. Doyle and Mr. Phelps explained that there is currently only pavement.

Mr. Doyle advised that the north/south streets have curbs and gutters but Gardena Street itself does not. Mr. Phelps added that the curbs wrap around into the throat of the street.

Singh Petroleum Company Convenience Center

Mr. Doyle advised that, to the best of his knowledge, the lift station is in place as part of the Pine School Project.

Mr. Doyle explained that the Singh facility sewer connection has been made to the manhole that will connect to the lift station.

Additionally, Mr. Doyle spoke with Mr. Walus yesterday by phone who indicated that the sewer use agreement would be forthcoming, perhaps by the next meeting.

Mr. Phelps thanked Mr. Doyle for his report.

Jim Maurer of Haas & Associates reported on the following projects:

Pine School Sewer

Mr. Maurer advised that all of the sewers and force mains have been installed and they are currently being tested.

The lift station work is underway. The wet well has been installed and the pumps have been delivered. The valve vault will be installed. There will be approximately three more weeks before substantial completion for this project.

Ohio/Southwind Sewer infrastructure Improvements Project

Mr. Maurer distributed a map of the project area in simplified form, explaining that the Ohio Street sewer currently drains north into a lift station at Southwind Drive.

Additionally, the Crestwood Drive sewer drains north and jogs over on Southwind Drive and all of that flow currently goes north on Ohio Street across Highway 20.

Mr. Maurer explained that this project consists of relocating the lift station from Ohio Street and Southwind Drive to Ohio Street and Village Road, as indicated on the map.

The 10 inch sewer from Village Road to Southwind Drive on Ohio Street will be replaced with a 15 inch sewer flowing to the new lift station. This will require all of the existing sewer laterals in that stretch to be reconnected to the new sewer.

Mr. Maurer advised that we are also going to re-route the Crestwood Drive sewer to the new lift station at Village Road, which will divert all of the flow that presently is heading north on Ohio Street to the new lift station.

The new lift station will consist of three pumps and there will be two 8 inch force mains which will continue south on Ohio Street, cross Ohio Street discharging into a new 18 inch sewer running south of the Garden Estates Apartments and then crossing Southwind Drive running down Westwind Drive to discharge into an existing 18 inch sewer. Mr. Maurer explained that this will divert all of that existing flow from the Ohio Street sewer over to the Cleveland Avenue sewer.

Mr. Maurer advised that this project has progressed with numerous meetings with District personnel.

Mr. Maurer explained that there was originally going to be a force main all the way to the Westwind Drive sewer. However, the 18 inch sanitary sewer was installed to provide for future flows south of County Road 400 North to be intercepted by that sewer and taken directly to the Westwind Drive sewer and, therefore, not discharging into the new lift station on Ohio Street.

Mr. Maurer advised that he considers this to be a definite improvement over the original plan because some day there will be future flow from the area to the south.

Mr. Phelps asked if the two force mains will be discharged to the 18 inch main. Mr. Maurer advised that this is correct.

Mr. Phelps then asked what happens from Southwind Drive going south between Village Road and South Court. Mr. Maurer advised that the existing 10 inch sewer on Ohio Street will remain in service in this area.

Mr. Phelps clarified that the sewer is within the street in the northern section from Southwind Drive to Crestwood Drive, but asked if, after the lift station, the sewer is in the right-of-way but still outside the confines of the street. Mr. Maurer advised that the sewer will be in easement completely outside of the right-of-way.

Dr. Jones asked what currently occurs in the south area by Pine Tree Court and asked if it discharges directly into the 18 inch sewer on Westwind Drive. Mr. Maurer advised that the Southwind Drive sewer flow continues down Crestwood Drive, jogs over on Southwind Drive to Ohio Street and then continues north on Ohio Street by gravity.

Dr. Jones asked for clarification of where the apartments on the south end discharge. Mr. Maurer explained that this flow will be diverted into the new lift station by the diversion sewer at Village Road.

Mr. Maurer advised that the project has been advertised for bids to be received at the April 22nd meeting. He explained that he should have the documents available for distribution to bidders by the end of this week due to a few minor changes to the documents.

Mr. Phelps thanked Mr. Maurer for his report.

Dan Olson

Mr. Olson advised that, during the month of February, there were no violations of the permit, there were no CSO events and we did not dispose of any sludge out of the sludge lagoons. The reports have been mailed to the State.

Mr. Olson advised, however, that we unfortunately did have a CSO event in the month of March. He explained that there was a considerable amount of rain that fell, approximately 2.97 inches, and two events. The discharge lasted for 235 hours from March 8th to March 18th and there was a discharge in the approximate amount of 9.76 million gallons of sewage.

Mr. Olson explained that this is the second highest amount that the District has ever discharged since 2006, when the disinfection system was installed. It is also the second highest amount of time to empty the basins as well. However, it is the highest in duration.

Mr. Phelps asked when the other time occurred. Mr. Olson advised that it was in September 2008.

Mr. Olson advised that he attributes this to very high groundwater tables in the city as well as a lot of snow that was still available in parking lots and other areas. Therefore, there was not only the rainfall occurring, but there was also warmer weather which contributed to the snow melt.

Mr. Olson advised that the storm basins were empty but they are currently being used for a maintenance activity to replace the chlorine diffuser in preparation for the startup of the disinfection season on April 1st. Mr. Olson anticipates that the basins will be emptied before the next storm occurs.

Mr. Phelps commented that there was definitely additional water because of the existing snow which resulted in a fast melt, which is like doubling the effect of the rain. Mr. Olson agreed and added there was also somewhat of a thaw/rain event that occurred at the end of last year which resulted in a very minor overflow of the basins.

Mr. Phelps asked if there were any questions by the Board. There were none.

Mr. Phelps thanked Mr. Olson for his report.

Mike Hoffman

In reference to the Safety Report for the Wastewater Division of the Sanitary District (WWTP, Administration and Collection System), Mr. Hoffman advised that there were no reported injuries and no lost time. There have been two months with no lost time.

In reference to the Safety Report for the Refuse Department, Mr. Hoffman advised that there were no reported injuries and no lost time. This is also the second month for the Refuse Department with no lost time.

In reference to the Davis Drive drainage, Mr. Hoffman advised that quotes were opened earlier in the meeting. We have verbal assurance that we will obtain the required easements. The process is moving along.

In reference to the Tulip Tree Drive drainage, Mr. Hoffman advised that we have design for the project but it has not been quoted yet.

In reference to the Turner Court drainage, Mr. Hoffman advised that this remains to be completed.

In reference to 602 W. Garfield Street, Mr. Hoffman reminded the Board that Ms. Sandy Stine appeared before the Board last month.

Mr. Hoffman explained that his report has not yet been discussed with Mr. Walus and Mr. Phelps to discuss possibilities for the problem.

However, Mr. Hoffman did visit with Ms. Stine at her residence the day after her appearance at last month's meeting and checked on all of the sewers that the District has in the area.

Mr. Hoffman then advised that he and Mr. Phelps attended a meeting this morning in Trail Creek with La Porte County, Trail Creek, and Michigan City officials to discuss groundwater and drainage issues.

Mr. Hoffman explained that one of the things that was going to be accomplished was the cleaning of the ditch on the south side of Welnetz Road. Approximately 120 feet of that ditch and a drain are in Michigan City at Welnetz Road and Woodland Avenue.

Mr. Hoffman advised that the District has crews out in the area this afternoon cleaning the Michigan City portion of that ditch in preparation of what was said at the meeting; quick action by the County in cleaning their portion.

In reference to MS4, Mr. Hoffman advised that the MS4 Coordinator is reviewing plans and inspecting sites for compliance.

The Sediment and Erosion Control 101 Workshop for La Porte contractors is tomorrow (March 26th) at Red Mill Park. The Workshop will be guided by IDEM Stormwater Specialist Doug Wolf and La Porte County MS4 Coordinator Rick Brown.

Mr. Hoffman advised that he will be attending the Second Annual MS4 Conference next month that is to be held in Noblesville, Indiana.

Mr. Phelps asked if there were any questions by the Board. There were none.

Mr. Phelps thanked Mr. Hoffman for his report.

Jim Kintzele

Mr. Kintzele was unable to attend the meeting.

Attorney Nelson reminded the Board that Mr. Kintzele came before the Board last month requesting authorization to purchase a 25-yard refuse truck and Attorney Nelson had requested that the Board only grant the authorization conditioned upon legal review.

Attorney Nelson advised that he and Mr. Kintzele met within the next couple of days after the meeting and discussed more details of the intended purchase. Attorney Nelson determined that there will be a need to go out for quotes for the purchase, under Indiana Law.

Therefore, the Board's conditional approval was wise after Attorney Nelson learned more about the item being purchased and what the anticipated cost could possibly be. It has gone a bit different route from what was reported last month. Attorney Nelson was unsure as to the progress of the matter at this point.

Attorney Nelson advised that the Board packets included a copy of the correspondence sent to Mr. Kintzele on March 3rd. Attorney Nelson spoke with Central Maintenance Superintendent Charlie Cate about the matter and Mr. Cate has put together a very detailed quote package that Attorney Nelson has reviewed and approved.

Again, Attorney Nelson is unsure of the status of the matter at this point, but he wanted to inform the Board that there is a slight change in the plans on how the process would be handled as opposed to the straight-out purchase that Mr. Kintzele had previously requested.

Attorney Bill Nelson

Attorney Nelson advised that there are four delinquent accounts to be recorded as liens, in the total amount of \$284.00, which requires Board action.

Mr. Phelps entertained a motion on the matter.

Ms. Paul made a motion to approve the delinquent accounts to be recorded as liens, in the total amount of \$284.00 as submitted – seconded by Mr. Strauss. No discussion or comment on the matter. Motion carried 4 – 0 in favor.

Attorney Nelson then submitted seven liens to be released due to satisfaction of the liens, in the total amount of \$959.46 and advised Board action was necessary.

Mr. Phelps entertained a motion on the matter.

Dr. Jones made a motion to approve the seven Releases of Lien, in the total amount of \$959.46, as submitted – seconded by Mr. Strauss. No discussion or comment on the matter. Motion carried 4 – 0 in favor.

Attorney Nelson then advised that there are three bad debts to write off for this month. He explained that one is for a private individual, in the amount of \$144.88, and the basis for the bad debt allowance is the filing of bankruptcy. There are also two separate commercial properties owned by the same corporation, in the amounts of \$262.31 and \$597.67. The basis for these bad debt allowances is also the filing of bankruptcy by the corporation. These require Board action as well.

Mr. Phelps entertained a motion on the matter.

Ms. Paul made a motion to allow and write-off the bad debts, as submitted – seconded by Mr. Strauss. No discussion or comment on the matter. Motion carried 4 – 0 in favor.

Mr. Phelps entertained a motion to approve and allow Revenue and Tax Levy claims for payment.

Mr. Strauss made such a motion – seconded by Ms. Paul. No discussion or comments on the motion. Motion carried 4 – 0 in favor.

Mr. Phelps then entertained a motion to approve and allow claims for the Board of Public Works & Safety.

Dr. Jones made such a motion – seconded by Ms. Paul. No discussion or comment on the matter. Motion carried 4 – 0 in favor.

Old Business

In reference to the Employee Step IV Complaint Review Request, Attorney Nelson reminded the Board that there was an Executive Session meeting held on February 25, 2009 at the conclusion of the regularly scheduled Board meeting.

The purpose of that Executive Session meeting was to discuss an employee personnel matter. This was, in fact, an Employee Step IV Complaint Review Request, which is basically an appeal presented by an employee. This meeting was held in Executive Session pursuant to Indiana Law due to the nature of it being a personnel matter.

At that time, the employee was present for the Executive Session meeting, as well as Mr. Walus as a representative of the Sanitary District. Additionally, there were four members of the Sanitary District Board of Commissioners present that day for the Executive Session; Ms. Paul, Mr. Strauss, Ms. Simmons and Mr. Phelps.

The hearing was presented. The employee presented his position. The Sanitary District, through Mr. Walus and other individuals, provided information to the Board.

At the conclusion of the Executive Session, everyone was asked to leave the room with the exception of Attorney Nelson and the Board members present, for the purposes of the Board deliberating.

Attorney Nelson advised that it was made clear, at that time, that Mr. Walus was asked to leave and he did leave. Attorney Nelson reminded the Board that there were just the four Board members in the room, absent Dr. Jones. Attorney Nelson was also present simply to answer any questions during the deliberation process.

Attorney Nelson advised that, after the Board's deliberation, the Board made a preliminary determination but not a final determination. As the Board is aware, and as Attorney Nelson instructed at the time, any decision the Board makes on a final determination must be made at a public meeting. This is why the notification of any final decision of the Board was delayed until today and the employee was invited to attend today's meeting as well.

Attorney Nelson advised that he, however, did take the liberty to draft a decision based upon what he heard from the Board that was present that day as far as a preliminary determination. Attorney Nelson has the draft document in writing if the Board is still inclined to move in that direction and affirm the preliminary decision that it made after the Executive Session.

Again, this was only preliminary in nature, certainly not final or binding, and the Board today has the opportunity to make its final decision here at this public meeting.

Attorney Nelson advised that, if the Board would like, he can read what he prepared based upon what the preliminary decision was, and the Board could either take formal action to affirm that today and make that decision in a public meeting. Additionally, the Board could have further deliberations or, if there were additional questions, the Board could return to Executive Session before a final decision is rendered at a public meeting, or the Board could change the preliminary determinations that were made that day and go in another direction.

Attorney Nelson asked the Board's pleasure on how they would like him to proceed at this time.

Mr. Phelps asked Attorney Nelson to read the draft document that was prepared.

Attorney Nelson advised again that this document is based upon the preliminary determination made by the Board and certainly is not a final determination after the Executive Session.

Attorney Nelson read the draft document, entitled Appeal Decision, as follows:

Michigan City Sanitary District employee, T. Gonder, presented his suspension appeal for hearing to the Michigan City Sanitary District Board of Commissioners at Executive Session on February 25, 2009. Appellant/Employee, T. Gonder, appeared in person at the hearing. Appellee/Employer, Michigan City Sanitary District, appeared at the hearing by its General Manager, Al Walus. The matter was submitted to the Michigan City Sanitary District Board of Commissioners for appeal pursuant the appeal process set forth in the Michigan City Sanitary District Personnel Handbook. Employee T. Gonder appeals the five day suspension without pay which was determined on January 26, 2009.

The Michigan City Sanitary District Board of Commissioners, having conducted the hearing, and thereafter, having held deliberations, hereby finds and enters its decision in favor of the Appellee/Employer, Michigan City Sanitary District, and hereby affirms a five day suspension without pay issued to T. Gonder on January 26, 2009.

If the Board moves in this direction, the decision would be hereby entered of record at public meeting on today's day, March 25, 2009.

If it is affirmed in this format, the document would be signed by Judith Paul, Ronald Strauss, Linda Simmons and Boyd Phelps.

Attorney Nelson advised that, if the Board takes action today to affirm and make this the final decision in public meeting, Dr. Jones would have to abstain from the decision.

Ms. Paul asked if a motion is needed. Attorney Nelson advised that there would need to be a motion to enter into any final decision if it is inclined to do so or if there are any other options chosen, such as further deliberations if there are more questions, or an alternative to go against the preliminary decision and go in another direction. However, anything the Board chooses to do at this point should be done by motion.

Ms. Paul made a motion to affirm the decision that was made at the deliberation of the Executive Session on February 25, 2009 – seconded by Mr. Strauss.

Dr. Jones commented that he of course intends to abstain due to his inability to attend the hearing as well as not participating in the deliberations in any way. Dr. Jones advised that the reason he was unable to attend the meeting was due to a family funeral.

There being no further discussion or comment on the matter, motion carried 3 – 0 in favor with Dr. Jones abstaining.

Attorney Nelson then presented the written formal decision and advised that it should be signed by everyone, including Ms. Simmons when she may be available, because she was here in part of the preliminary decision at least. Now, the Board, in a majority vote has affirmed that decision.

Attorney Nelson advised that after the document is fully signed, including Ms. Simmons' signature, we need to make sure to get a copy to the employee as well.

New Business

Ms. Paul referred to a correspondence included in the Board packets from the District's workers' compensation insurance carrier addressed to Refuse Superintendent Jim Kintzele following a jobsite observation.

Ms. Paul stated that it was a very complimentary letter and read a portion of the letter as follows:

"The employees each wore safety shirts/jackets and gloves. All of them did a wonderful job. I can see why there is less cost for claims due to the new system."

Ms. Paul explained that the writer was referencing the new toter and tipper system. She believes that this decision to go to this system has shown a benefit within less than two months.

In reference to Refuse Department Surplus Equipment, Administrative Assistant Tina Tabisz advised that, in reviewing the records of all District vehicles, it was discovered that there are two old Refuse Department vehicles that are no longer of use and they are actually being used for spare parts for other vehicles.

Ms. Tabisz advised that these two vehicles should be declared as surplus equipment so that they can be disposed of properly and they can then be also removed from the District's insurance coverage.

Ms. Tabisz advised that the vehicles are as follows:

Refuse #6, 1985 Mack Dump Truck, VIN #1M2B12CC5FA056638
Refuse #24, 1994 Ford Loader Truck, VIN #1FD6YW82E4VA50453

Mr. Phelps entertained a motion on the matter.

Dr. Jones made a motion to declare the two vehicles submitted as surplus equipment – seconded by Ms. Paul. No discussion or comment on the matter. Motion carried 4 – 0 in favor.

The next regular monthly meeting of the Board of Sanitary District Commissioners –
April 22, 2009 – 1:00 p.m. - 1100 E. Eighth Street

There being no further business to come before the Board at this time, on motion duly made and seconded, the meeting then adjourned.

/ Linda G. Simmons /
Linda G. Simmons, Secretary